

INTERNATIONAL AND STRATEGIC STUDIES REPORT



OCT 24, 2015

ISSUE. 28

Institute of International and Strategic Studies, Peking University

Security in Asia-Pacific Region and Respective Roles of Japan and China

Seiji Maehara *

Hello everyone. I am Seiji Maehara, a member of Democratic Party of Japan (DPJ) in the House of Representatives. I'm much honored to be invited by Peking University to speak here today. I would like to express my heartfelt respect and gratitude to President Wang Jisi, Vice President Yuan Ming and others involved. This time I and seven other members of DPJ in the National Diet visited Dalian and Beijing on the invitation of the China-Japan Friendship Association. I would also like to take this occasion to express my gratitude to China-Japanese Friendship Association, led by President Tang Jiaxuan, and other relevant organizations.

I was born and have grown up in Kyoto, the ancient capital of Japan. In 1982, I was admitted to the Faculty of Law, Kyoto University. During college, I studied under Professor Kosaka Masataka, the famous Japanese international political scientist, on international politics. That was during the end of the Cold War, when the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) proposed by the U.S. received much attention, but I was more concerned about the China at that time. After the "Cultural Revolution" ended, the Third Plenary Session of the 11th Central Committee of the Chinese Communist Party in December 1978 helped turn China to the reform and opening up. At first, Shenzhen, Shantou, Zhuhai and Xiamen were designated as special economic zones, which were able to attract foreign investment and gradually got out of stagnation and started to boom. I studied on this, and finished my graduation thesis titled "China's Modernization". After graduation and enrolled in the Matsushita Institute of Government and Management, I was increasingly concerned about China. From August 1989 on, I spent about two months on visiting eight cities in China,

* Seiji Maehara is a member of DPJ in the House of Representatives, and formerly served as Japan's Minister for Foreign Affairs, Minister of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, Minister of State for Economic and Fiscal Policy, and President of DPJ. This article is the translation of the author's speech delivered at the second "North Pavilion Dialogue", held by the Institute of International & Strategic Studies (IISS), Peking University (PKU), on October 17, 2015, and is published here with the consent of the author.

including Shenzhen, Guangzhou, Xiamen, Shanghai, Qingdao, Beijing, Harbin and Dalian, and investigated more than 50 joint ventures and township and village enterprises, having a closer look at the vitality of China's economic development by myself.

Now after nearly 37 years of reform and opening, China has achieved remarkable economic development. While China already bears this potential, the state to my surprise achieved such significant growth under good governance of politicians, which made I recognize again the important role of politics. In 2008, I had the privilege of meeting President Hu Jintao on his visit to Japan. What he said then still impressed me: "The reform and opening up has lasted three decades, but that's not enough. We should stick to it for five decades. If we can't, it's not the real reform and opening." I think that is what a politician would say.

Premier Zhou Enlai, who proposed the Four Modernizations, and Mr. Deng Xiaoping, who steered China towards the reform and opening up and vigorously promote the process of modernization, are the two politicians I respect. Regrettably, I haven't had the opportunity to meet them in person. Yet I was fortunate to meet with Mr. Zhu Rongji three times. In my 22-year's career of National Diet member, one of the leaders impresses me most is Premier Zhu Rongji. He once said that: "China needs to reform to grow national strength. To do this, we need to conduct reform on state-owned enterprises. We should let China join the WTO and introduce international standards, so that we can resolutely push for reform on state-owned enterprises without any hesitation, even if we are opposed." From these words, I feel a real reformer's sincerity and verve.

Although there is a perception in China that I was a hardliner towards China, however, as I have just mentioned, I have long been concerned about China, and I'm proud to have been committed to strengthening relations between China and Japan, which I will talk about later in my speech. I think in the future, China and Japan should frankly exchange views on issues between the two countries, and preserve in solving these issues. In the process, they should not only strengthen bilateral relations, but work together to promote stability and prosperity in the Asia-Pacific region depending on the circumstances.

My topic today is "Security in Asia-Pacific Region and Respective Roles of Japan and China."

It has been more than 70 years since the end of World War II. After experiencing two great wars, the world created a variety of orders to avoid renewed hostilities, such as the United Nations (UN), the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the General Agreement on Tariff and Trade (GATT), which later evolved into the World Trade Organization (WTO), and other organizations. In other words, by developing common rules and urging countries to abide by these rules, the world wanted to prevent conflict and promote peaceful resolution of issues between countries, so that countries can achieve coexistence and common prosperity. The world has paid a lot of effort for this.

However, what concerns me is that recently, there are some trends of trying to change the world order established after World War II by "force": The Islamic State (IS) denied territorial allocations defined by the Sykes-Picot Agreement after the collapse of the Ottoman Empire, and tried to reestablish an Islamic State; Russia is not only controlling the Crimean peninsula, but still planning to split eastern Ukraine and place it under Russia's influence.

These are notable cases in the trends. Russia is a very important neighbor of Japan. I myself also serve as Vice President of Japan-Russian Friendship Parliamentarians' Union in Japan's National Diet, and am committed to promoting the development of Japan-Russian relations. On the issue of Crimea, taking its history into account, it's not unable to understand Russia's mind, but I still hold that Russia should adopt a procedure that can be recognized by the international community to solve the issue.

In addition, terrorist organizations, which are non-state actors, are now spreading all over the world, and carrying out sabotage activities in various countries. Disregarding for the order defined by the international community, they have posed a threat to sovereign states. Such acts of violence cannot be tolerated.

Because of this, we need a world order jointly developed by countries and based on the rule of law, and maintaining the order is our top priority. Of course, there are some limitations in the post-war order. It hasn't always been working all the time. For example, during the cold war, the U.S. and Russia vetoed against each other on various issues, resulting in difficulty in reaching agreements for the U.N. Security Council. Even today, agreements are hard to reach at times. Nevertheless, the world order established after two world wars to protect and develop the international community is still critical today. Therefore, we have to say that as one of the five permanent members of the U.N. Security Council and the world's second-largest economy, China bears great responsibilities.

The following content may sound harsh for all of you from China. But I hope you can understand that I make the speech with expectation and respect only because I am facing China, a country has made extraordinary achievements and grown from a strong developing country into a country with world influence.

Accompanied by the remarkable development, China's diplomacy has also undergone a rapid change in recent years. However, many countries are not yet able to understand "the true intention of China". What is China's diplomatic goal? If they have to choose between the two alternatives, many countries are not sure whether China is a "supporter" or a "challenger" for the world order built after two world wars.

As a supporter, China has performed its duties as a permanent member of the U.N. Security Council. However, considering China's recent initiatives in the South China Sea and the acts of unilaterally setting up air defense identification zone in East China Sea two years ago, it's also inevitable to regard China as a challenger. When the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB) was initially established, some argued that AIIB was a "challenger" to World Bank and Asia Development Bank, which are important institutions for the post-war order. Now with 57 member states and having determined cooperation financing program with Asia Development Bank, AIIB have received positive reviews on its initiatives, and is considered to be an initiative of advocating the existing order and further promoting regional prosperity and stability under the leadership of China. In general, how should we understand these initiatives? Can we say that maximizing national interests ("lagging behind leaves one threatened by attacks") is the core of China's diplomacy?

One of the quotes I like is credited to Mr. Gorbachev, the last General Secretary of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union: "there are no enemies or friends but only national interests in diplomacy." To pursue national interests through diplomacy is quite appropriate

for any country. Yet the wisdom we have accumulated over the past 70 years is that to avoid countries' pursuit of narrow national interests triggering off disputes, we should build a set of rules as a basis for countries to pursue their own interests. China's diplomatic stand is "to coordinate the domestic and international situations". Needless to quote Mr. Gorbachev, this idea applies for any country. But is China focusing more on the domestic situation? On a number of complex issues, leaders of China often argued that "the key to these issues is whether they (neighboring countries) can accept China's development", which makes me believe that this kind of view reflects China's diplomatic gesture.

Mr. Deng Xiaoping is believed to promote a "low profile", which showed China's diplomatic gesture of adhering to international cooperation and coordination. However, in recent years, leaders of China often use the statement of "the peaceful development policy", and add that China will stand firm to "defend the sovereignty" basically every time when declaring the policy. "Defending the sovereignty" is self-evident for any sovereign country, then why does China emphasize it? Many countries have doubts about this.

The reason I refer specifically to such a problem is that it is indispensable for the stability of East Asian's regional order to maintain constructive relations between China and Japan. And avoiding miscalculations on the strategic intent of each other is the basis for building the constructive relations. Therefore, we hope that as the world's second largest economy and a key strength to maintain the stability of the Asia-Pacific region, China should not just advocate "neighboring countries should accept China's development" in diplomacy, but show a "just and fair diplomatic philosophy" that goes beyond the maximum of national interests. Without such a philosophy, not just the stability of East Asia and Asia-Pacific, but the stability of the world will not be achieved. We would like to see a diplomatic gesture that is consistent with China's status as the world's second largest economy and with the spirit of "noblesse oblige".

Now that I have put forward such a request to China, it is necessary for me to clearly state Japan's strategic intentions: Japan wants to be the "supporter" of the world order. I will illustrate it from the two directions that are especially important to Japan's diplomacy.

As you know, Japan is an island country made up of many islands. An island country usually gives people the impression of seclusion, but I'd like to quote words of Sakamoto Ryoma, my favorite reformist from the Bakumatsu period, that we are an "ocean state", which means we are a country communicates freely with other countries through the ocean that is free to sail. And Japan does not have abundant natural resources, though flammable ice and hydrothermal deposit are found in Japan's offshore in recent years. Because of this, the "free trade" that allows the freedom of communicate with foreign countries is essential to Japan.

Some of you may be surprised that I talk about free trade first under the topic of security issues. In the exposition of his historical materialism, thinker Karl Marx makes a point that "the foundation determines the superstructure". The foundation refers to the various relations of production. It can also be understood as various economic activities. I am not a Marxist, but I agree that various activities associated with human life are affected by the economic impact, it is a truth. To Borrow my advisor Mr. Kosaka Masataka's words, "all the countries are systems of power, systems of benefits and systems of value." Economic

strength, plus military power and ideology, are the important factors in a country's prosperity, and I am sure that "guaranteeing free trade" is the basis for developing economic strength.

A few days ago, in Atlanta of the U.S., 12 countries, including Japan, reached broad consensus on the Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPP). Given that a lot of information involved hasn't been made publicly, I won't comment on specific details of the agreement, but I agree with the agreement on framework. The TPP's importance to Japan was first presented during the DPJ's administration. As Minister for Foreign Affairs, President of the Policy Affairs Research Council of the DPJ, and Minister of State for Economic and Fiscal Policy at that time, I had been committed to the TPP negotiations.

Why is free trade important? With the development of free trade, the movement of people, goods and funds will be freer, which will not only make the economy of countries more active, but facilitate the complementary relationship between countries. Consumers can buy products at cheaper costs, and countries work to strengthen their own industrial competitiveness. As long as the countries make efforts, they can create a win-win environment. As more countries are enjoying the benefits of free trade, countries involved would attempt to protect the foundation of benefits, namely to protect a peaceful and conflict-free environment, which would therefore have the effect of keeping them out of the war.

But I think TPP is only one of the milestones in creating a wider free trade zone. I think the current task is to achieve the "Bogor Goals", which is the goals of "free and open trade and investment in the Asia-Pacific by 2010 for developed countries and 2020 for developing countries" that was set at the APEC meeting in November 1994, in which China and Japan were participating as member states. I think the TPP is an effective way to reach the peak of the "Bogor Goals". Yet, there are many other ways to reach the peak. China, Japan and South Korea should also reach an agreement on free trade, and the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) is the platform we seriously hope to push for. Meanwhile, the free trade agreements China is promoting alone with other countries are also very important. Accumulation of these agreements will help achieve the "Bogor Goals". I hope Japan and China could work together to achieve the Bogor Goals and make every effort in it.

Another pillar of Japan's diplomacy is the "diplomacy for peace". In the constitution drafted after war, Japan established "renouncing war" as a law of the country. We will resolve all disputes by peaceful means. This resolution will not waver.

Meanwhile, Japan adopts the Self-Defense Forces (SDF) that always adheres to the "exclusively defense-oriented" policy as a self-defense measure to enhance deterrence and provide against any contingency. For a long time, the SDF's self-help and the Japan-U.S. alliance together constitute the two pillars of Japan's security. I think we should stick to this approach in the future. The conclusion of the Treaty of Mutual Cooperation and Security between the United States and Japan was initially resulted from intensification of Cold War, and one of the triggers of the conclusion was the outbreak of the Korean War. Although time has changed greatly, I think as a public good to maintaining Asia-Pacific stability, the Japan-U.S. alliance will continue to play its role in the future. Yet, it's

necessary to elaborate on the motivation of development of the Japan-U.S. alliance, in particular to China and other neighboring countries.

I currently belong to the opposition party, so I'm not in a position to explain the policies promoted by the Abe administration. But let me give description from a personal point of view on the "Peace and Security Preservation Legislation" issue lately discussed in the National Diet.

Looking at its content, the "Peace and Security Preservation Legislation" will expand the scope of the SDF activities in four areas.

First, by changing the interpretation of the Constitution, it lifts the restrictions that Japan can only exercise some rights of self-defense, so that Japan can exercise part of the collective self-defense.

The second is, when Japan itself is not under direct attack, but the development of situation is posing a significant impact on Japan's security, this kind of situations is called the "situations in areas surrounding Japan" under the existing law. If the situations are found to meet the definition of "situations in areas surrounding Japan", the SDF can provide logistic support for the U.S. troops under the existing law. After this legal amendment, the situations that will not cause Japan itself under direct attack but will have a significant impact on Japan's security are renamed as the "situations that will have a significant impact". If the situations are identified as "situations that will have a significant impact", Japan can provide logistic support not only to the U.S. but to other countries on a global scale. In other words, (through the amendment to the law), Japan will expand the contents of logistic support and the scope of countries it provides support for, and lift the geographical limits of logistic support.

The third is, in the past, under the situations that were not identified as "situations in areas surrounding Japan", if Japan wanted to provide support for military activities of the U.S. troops, Japan must enact a special measures law each time if necessary. But through the amendment to the law, we have enacted a permanent law that allows supporting multinational forces at any time.

The fourth is, it will realize the emergency escort for the U.N.'s peacekeeping operations (PKO) that is not allowed so far. We (the DPJ) are essentially in favor of this aspect.

The problems lay in the rest three aspects. We the DPJ are not entirely against the exercise of collective self-defense by amending the interpretation of the Constitution. The statement we used to conclude our comments is, "we are opposed to the general exercise of collective self-defense by changing the interpretation of the Constitution." By saying "opposed to the general exercise", we leave room for the situations where the exercise of collective self-defense can be accepted.

Then what are the problems we believe in the government's program? First, the law itself might be considered unconstitutional. Article 98 of Japanese Constitution states that "all the unconstitutional laws are null and void". Before discussing the necessity of the law, the government should submit a law that will not be accused of unconstitutional first. Another point is specific cases that are used by the government as the legislative basis of Japan's exercise of collective self-defense, such as "mine clearance in the Strait of Hormuz"

and "providing escort for the U.S. warships that take Japanese citizens", are basically unthinkable in reality.

And as to whether it's necessary to change "situations in areas surrounding Japan" especially into "situations that will have a significant impact", we also have doubts. I think there is nothing wrong with the approach adopted so far, namely when there is a need to cooperate with the U.S. troops, Japan responds by enacting a special measures law. In any case, the adjustment of the Peace and Security Preservation Legislation is not to target at any specific country and region, but to increase Japan's ability of response and deterrence in case of emergency. We have called upon the government and the ruling party for detailed description of this to other countries to prevent tension with other countries caused by such legal amendments. We also hope to continue to work on this effort.

Finally, I'd like to offer views on how to advance and deepen the Sino-Japanese relations in future. As I have mentioned, I have a strong interest and concern in China, which has never been changed. In 2009, as the new prime minister took his office, I assumed the position of Minister of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism. I tried everything possible to increase the number of exchanges between China and Japan. I believed that if the number of exchanges increase, the two countries can understand each other better, and the development of relations between the two countries can be promoted. Based on this idea, I made efforts in relaxing visa restrictions for Chinese. I made adjustments for the status that the visa to Japan was only issued to group traveling. Starting with opening individual visa to visit Japan, I worked on relaxing restrictions relating to the proof of income, and relaxed visa conditions step by step. In addition, the places of visa issuance had been increased from the three cities of Beijing, Shanghai, and Guangzhou to seven cities. And, in order to increase the places that allow paying with China Union Pay cards, I actively conducted consultations with travel and tourism organizations and business sectors

Although it didn't realize within my term of Office, during my visit to China as Minister of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism in 2010, I talked with then Vice Prime Minister Li Keqiang, and reached consensus on signing an aviation liberalization agreement between China and Japanese soon. Now the Sino-Japanese aviation liberalization agreement has entered into force, flights from China and Japan have been able to fly freely between almost all the airports of two countries. I think this is really a great thing. Because of these agreements, the number of Chinese visiting Japan is increasing year by year. More Chinese people are able to know Japan. I'm very glad for this. I hope to further expand mutual exchanges between the two countries in the future, so that the basis of mutual understanding between the two countries will be more solid.

Furthermore, I think the two countries' close cooperation on "difficult problems" both of them are facing would also be beneficial for strengthening relations between the two countries. With a population of 1.3 billion, China faces a variety of challenges. As a politician at the helm of such a country, President Xi Jinping proposed the "two 100-year's" goal, showing determination to further deepening the reform. I will keep an eye on the progress. I think China and Japan should continue to deepen the ongoing cooperation on environmental issues. Meanwhile, I'm thinking whether the two countries can have more in-depth and direct exchanges on all aspects of society and promote cooperation.

Recently, "China's economic slowdown" in the world economy has become one of the main topics of meetings like the G20 summit. This phenomenon may be partly attribute to the remaining effect of China's policy combinations after the 2008 global financial crisis, but it's actually impossible for any country to always maintain a high economic growth rate. I think it's essential to recognize that China's economy is entering from the period of high growth into the period of stable growth. Japan has also experienced the transition period of high economic growth to stable growth, and it had to adjust industrial structure greatly during the period. Based on these experiences to exchange on the changes that should happen in China's social structure and industrial structure, the two countries truly have a wide range of areas of cooperation.

China's population is about 10 times that of Japan, but China's development of demographic structure is presenting the same trends as Japan, which means labor reduction and population decline caused by declining birth rate and aging will also happen in China, which is 15 years later than Japan. While Japan's labor force has begun to decrease since around 1995, so has its the total population since around 2008, China's labor force has begun to decrease since 2012, and its total population will begin to decline in 2025. As a pioneer in dealing with the problem, Japan had to overcome the problem of declining birth rate and aging based on adjusting fiscal revenue and by modifying medical, caring, pensions and other social security systems. I'd like to share the experiences and lessons from it with China, and hope it will help China overcome the problem.

In order to prevent the desertification in China, several organizations in Japan come to China every year to plant trees. About ten years ago, as Deputy Chief of an organization, I went to a place called Horqin Left Back Banner in Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region to join in a tree planting activity lasted for several days. A few days ago, I visited Horqin Left Back Banner again with a partner who also participated in the tree planting activity. People there happily told me that the trees we planted has rooted and sprouted healthily, we have successfully turned desert into a forest. I was really happy for that. China and Japan are neighbors, and can't move away. We had misfortune in our history, and we have all sorts of problems today. But it is extremely important to tackle these problems lie ahead based on the overall situation of coexistence and common prosperity of the two countries and regional stability and prosperity. Please join me to grow seedlings, and transform barren deserts into green forests. As a member of the National Diet of Japan, I will work with you, and vow to make more efforts for the development of China-Japan relations. That concludes my speech. Thank you.

(Translated into Chinese by Sun Ying, revised by Yu Tiejun)

Edited by Dr. Gui Yongtao and Dr. Lei Shaohua

Tel: 86-10-62756376

Email: iiss@pku.edu.cn

Fax: 86-10-62753063

Web: www.iiss.pku.edu.cn

Address: IISS, Peking University, Beijing, China